

# **NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC RELIABILITY COUNCIL**

Princeton Forrestal Village, 116-390 Village Boulevard, Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5731

## **NERC Reliability Standards Process Manual**

**Version 4.0 — Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees**

**August 2, 2005**

---

A New Jersey Nonprofit Corporation

Phone 609-452-8060 ■ Fax 609-452-9550 ■ URL [www.nerc.com](http://www.nerc.com)

## Table of Contents

PRINCETON FORRESTAL VILLAGE, 116-390 VILLAGE BOULEVARD, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540-5731 .....	1
<b>Table of Contents.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>4</b>
PURPOSE .....	4
AUTHORITY.....	4
BACKGROUND.....	4
<b>Principles.....</b>	<b>5</b>
NEED FOR GUIDING PRINCIPLES.....	5
RELIABILITY PRINCIPLES .....	5
MARKET INTERFACE PRINCIPLES.....	5
<b>Reliability Standard Definition, Characteristics, and Elements.....</b>	<b>6</b>
DEFINITION OF A RELIABILITY STANDARD.....	6
CHARACTERISTICS OF A RELIABILITY STANDARD.....	6
ELEMENTS OF A RELIABILITY STANDARD .....	6
RELIABILITY STANDARD TEMPLATE .....	7
<i>Core Elements of a Reliability Standard</i> .....	7
<i>Glossary of Terms Used in Standards</i> .....	7
<i>Compliance Elements</i> .....	8
<i>Supporting Information Elements</i> .....	8
<b>Roles in the Reliability Standards Development Process.....</b>	<b>10</b>
NOMINATION, REVISION, OR WITHDRAWAL OF A STANDARD.....	10
PROCESS ROLES .....	10
<b>Reliability Standards Consensus Development Process.....</b>	<b>13</b>
OVERVIEW .....	13
STEP 1 — REQUEST A STANDARD OR REVISION TO AN EXISTING STANDARD.....	14
STEP 2 — SOLICIT PUBLIC COMMENTS ON THE SAR .....	15
STEP 3 — AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH DRAFTING A NEW OR REVISED STANDARD.....	16
STEP 4 — APPOINT STANDARD DRAFTING TEAM .....	17
STEP 5 — DRAFT NEW OR REVISED STANDARD .....	17
STEP 6 — SOLICIT PUBLIC COMMENTS ON DRAFT STANDARD .....	18
STEP 7 — FIELD TESTING.....	19
STEP 8 — ANALYSIS OF THE COMMENTS AND FIELD TEST RESULTS .....	19
STEP 9 — BALLOT THE NEW OR REVISED STANDARD .....	20
<i>Ballot Pool</i> .....	20
<i>First Ballot</i> .....	21
<i>Second Ballot</i> .....	22
STEP 10 — ADOPTION OF THE RELIABILITY STANDARD BY THE BOARD.....	23
STEP 11 — IMPLEMENTATION OF RELIABILITY STANDARD .....	23
PROCESS DIAGRAM.....	24

<b>Special Procedures</b> .....	<b>25</b>
URGENT ACTIONS .....	25
INTERPRETATIONS OF STANDARDS .....	25
REGIONAL DIFFERENCES.....	26
<i>Regional Standards</i> .....	26
<i>Criteria for Regional Standards and Regional Differences</i> .....	27
APPEALS .....	27
<i>Level 1 Appeal</i> .....	27
<i>Level 2 Appeal</i> .....	28
<b>Maintenance of Reliability Standards and Process</b> .....	<b>29</b>
PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURES .....	29
PROCESS REVISIONS.....	29
<i>Requests to Revise the Reliability Standards Process Manual</i> .....	29
<i>Abbreviated Process for Procedural/Administrative Changes</i> .....	29
<i>Fundamental Tenets</i> .....	29
<i>Process for Changing Fundamental Tenets</i> .....	30
<i>Appeals</i> .....	31
<i>Filing of Revisions with ANSI</i> .....	31
STANDARDS PROCESS ACCREDITATION .....	31
FIVE-YEAR REVIEW .....	31
FILING OF RELIABILITY STANDARDS WITH REGULATORY AGENCIES.....	31
ON-LINE STANDARDS INFORMATION SYSTEM.....	31
ARCHIVED STANDARDS INFORMATION .....	31
NUMBERING SYSTEM .....	32
<b>Supporting Documents</b> .....	<b>33</b>
<b>Appendix A – Information in a Standard Authorization Request</b> .....	<b>34</b>
RELIABILITY FUNCTIONS .....	35
RELIABILITY AND MARKET INTERFACE PRINCIPLES .....	35
RELATED STANDARDS .....	36
RELATED SARs .....	36
REGIONAL DIFFERENCES.....	37
<b>Appendix B – Development of the Registered Ballot Body</b> .....	<b>38</b>
REGISTRATION PROCEDURES .....	38
SEGMENT QUALIFICATION GUIDELINES .....	38
INITIAL SEGMENTS.....	39
<b>Appendix C – Examples of Weighted Segment Voting Calculation</b> .....	<b>41</b>
BALLOT BODY AND POOLS .....	41
EXAMPLE 1 .....	41
EXAMPLE 2 .....	42

## **Introduction**

---

### ***Purpose***

This manual defines the characteristics of a reliability standard of the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) and establishes the process for development of consensus for approval, revision, reaffirmation, and withdrawal of such standards. NERC reliability standards apply to the reliability planning and reliable operation of the bulk electric systems of North America.

### ***Authority***

This manual is published by the authority of the NERC Board of Trustees, who shall have the sole authority to modify the manual. The manual may, at the discretion of the Board of Trustees, be filed with regulatory agencies, consistent with the NERC Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws. A procedure for revising the manual is provided in the section titled Maintenance of Reliability Standards and Process.

### ***Background***

NERC is a nonprofit corporation formed as a result of the Northeast blackout in 1965 to promote the reliability of the bulk electric systems of North America. NERC comprises ten regional reliability organizations that account for virtually all the electricity supplied in the United States, Canada, and a portion of Baja California Norte, Mexico.

NERC works with all stakeholder segments of the electric industry, including electricity users, to develop standards for the reliable planning and operation of bulk electric systems. Historically, NERC standards were effectively applied on a voluntary basis. The NERC Board of Trustees has established that enforcement of these standards is a necessary step for the continuing reliability of the North American bulk electric systems.

While NERC reliability standards are intended to promote reliability, they must at the same time accommodate competitive electricity markets. Reliability is a necessity for electricity markets, and robust electricity markets can support reliability.

This manual has been developed for implementation while NERC is in a transition state to become the North American Electric Reliability Organization (NAERO). Once reliability legislation is enacted, and as NAERO is formed, this manual may be revised as necessary to incorporate any additional regulatory requirements associated with the development, approval, and implementation of reliability standards.

## Principles

---

### ***Need for Guiding Principles***

The NERC Board of Trustees has adopted reliability principles and market interface principles to define the purpose, scope, and nature of reliability standards. As these principles are fundamental to reliability and the market interface, these principles provide a constant beacon to guide the development of reliability standards. The Board of Trustees may modify these principles from time to time, as necessary, to adapt its vision for reliability standards.

Persons and committees that are responsible for the reliability standards process shall consider these principles in the execution of those duties.

### ***Reliability Principles***

NERC reliability standards are based on certain reliability principles that define the foundation of reliability for North American bulk electric systems. Each reliability standard shall enable or support one or more of the reliability principles, thereby ensuring that each standard serves a purpose in support of reliability of the North American bulk electric systems. Each reliability standard shall also be consistent with all of the reliability principles, thereby ensuring that no standard undermines reliability through an unintended consequence.

### ***Market Interface Principles***

Recognizing that bulk electric system reliability and electricity markets are inseparable and mutually interdependent, all reliability standards shall be consistent with the market interface principles. Consideration of the market interface principles is intended to ensure that reliability standards are written such that they achieve their reliability objective without causing undue restrictions or adverse impacts on competitive electricity markets.

## Reliability Standard Definition, Characteristics, and Elements

---

### ***Definition of a Reliability Standard***

A reliability standard defines certain obligations or requirements of entities that operate, plan, and use the bulk electric systems of North America. The obligations or requirements must be material to reliability and measurable. Each obligation and requirement shall support one or more of the stated reliability principles and shall be consistent with all of the stated reliability and market interface principles.

### ***Characteristics of a Reliability Standard***

Reliability standards include standards for the operation and planning of interconnected systems, consistent with the reliability and market interface principles. The format and process defined by this manual applies to all reliability standards.

A reliability standard shall have the following characteristics:

- **Material to reliability** — A reliability standard shall be material to the reliability of the bulk electric systems of North America. If the reliability of the bulk electric systems could be compromised without a particular standard or by a failure to comply with that standard, then the standard is material to reliability.
- **Measurable** — A reliability standard shall establish technical or performance requirements that can be practically measured.

Although reliability standards have a common format and process, several types of reliability standards may exist, each with a different approach to measurement:

- **Technical standards** related to the provision, maintenance, operation, or state of electric systems will likely contain measures of physical parameters and will often be technical in nature.
- **Performance standards** related to the actions of entities providing for or impacting the reliability of bulk electric systems will likely contain measures of the results of such actions, or the nature of the performance of such actions.
- **Preparedness standards** related to the actions of entities to be prepared for conditions that are unlikely to occur but are critical to reliability will likely contain measures of such preparations or the state of preparedness, but measurement of actual outcomes may occur infrequently or never.
- **Organization certification standards** define the essential capabilities to perform reliability functions. Such standards are used to credential organizations that have the requisite capabilities.

### ***Elements of a Reliability Standard***

A reliability standard shall consist of the elements shown in the reliability standard template. These elements are intended to apply a systematic discipline in the development and revision of reliability standards. This discipline is necessary to achieving standards that are measurable, enforceable, and consistent. The format allows a clear statement of the purpose, requirements, measures, and compliance elements associated with each standard.

All mandatory requirements of a reliability standard shall be within an element of the standard. Supporting documents to aid in the implementation of a standard may be referenced by the standard but are not part of the standard itself. Types of supporting documents are described in a later section of the manual.

***Performance Elements of a Reliability Standard***

<b>Identification Number</b>	A unique identification number assigned in accordance with a published classification system to facilitate tracking and reference to the standards.
<b>Title</b>	A brief, descriptive phrase identifying the topic of the standard.
<b>Effective Date and Status</b>	The effective date of the standard or, prior to adoption of the standard by the Board of Trustees, the proposed effective date. The status of the standard will be indicated as active or by reference to one of the numbered steps in the standards process.
<b>Purpose</b>	The purpose of the standard. The purpose shall explicitly state what outcome will be achieved by the adoption of the standard. The purpose is agreed to early in the process as a step toward obtaining approval to proceed with the development of the standard. The purpose should link the standard to the relevant principle(s).
<b>Requirement(s)</b>	Explicitly stated technical, performance, and preparedness requirements. Each requirement identifies who is responsible and what action is to be performed or what outcome is to be achieved. Each statement in the requirements section shall be a statement for which compliance is mandatory. Any additional comments or statements for which compliance is not mandatory, such as background or explanatory information, should be placed in a separate document and referenced (See Supporting References.)
<b>Measure(s)</b>	Each requirement shall be addressed by one or more measures. Measures are used to assess performance and outcomes for the purpose of determining compliance with the requirements stated above. Each measure will identify to whom the measure applies and the expected level of performance or outcomes required to demonstrate compliance. Each measure shall be tangible, practical, and as objective as is practical. It is important to realize that measures are proxies to assess required performance or outcomes. Achieving the full compliance level of each measure should be a necessary and sufficient indicator that the requirement was met. Each measure shall clearly refer to the requirement(s) to which it applies and each requirement shall clearly indicate which measure(s) apply to that requirement.

***Glossary of Terms Used in Standards***

<b>Definitions of Terms</b>	All defined terms used in reliability standards shall be defined in the glossary. Definitions may be approved as part of a standard action or as a separate action. All definitions must be approved in accordance with the standards process.
-----------------------------	--

### ***Compliance Elements<sup>1</sup> of a Standard***

<p><b>Compliance Monitoring Process</b></p>	<p>Defines for each measure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The specific data or information that is required to measure performance or outcomes.</li> <li>• The entity that is responsible to provide the data or information for measuring performance or outcomes.</li> <li>• The process that will be used to evaluate data or information for the purpose of assessing performance or outcomes.</li> <li>• The entity that is responsible for evaluating data or information to assess performance or outcomes.</li> <li>• The time period in which performance or outcomes is measured, evaluated, and then reset.</li> <li>• Measurement data retention requirements and assignment of responsibility for data archiving.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Levels of Non-Compliance</b></p>	<p>Defines the levels of non-compliance for each measure, typically based on the actual or potential severity of the consequences of non-compliance.</p>

### ***Supporting Information Elements***

<p><b>Interpretations</b></p>	<p>Formal interpretations of the reliability standard. Interpretations are temporary, as the standard should be revised to incorporate the interpretation. Interpretations are developed through a process described in the section Interpretations of Standards.</p>
<p><b>Supporting References</b></p>	<p>This section will reference related documents that support implementation of the reliability standard, but are not themselves mandatory. Examples include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Developmental history of the standard and prior versions.</li> <li>• Subcommittee(s) responsible for standard.</li> <li>• Notes pertaining to implementation or compliance.</li> <li>• Standard references.</li> <li>• Standard supplements.</li> <li>• Procedures.</li> <li>• Practices.</li> </ul>

---

<sup>1</sup> While the compliance elements are developed and approved in the NERC process along with the core elements of a standard, the compliance elements will not be included in any standard submitted to ANSI for approval as an American National Standard.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Training references.</li><li>• Technical references.</li><li>• White papers.</li><li>• Internet links to related information.</li></ul>
--	---

## Roles in the Reliability Standards Development Process

---

### ***Nomination, Revision, or Withdrawal of a Standard***

Any member of NERC, including any member of a regional reliability organization, or group within NERC shall be allowed to request that a reliability standard be developed, modified, or withdrawn. Additionally, any person (organization, company, government agency, individual, etc.) who is directly and materially affected by the reliability of the North American bulk electric systems shall be allowed to request a reliability standard be developed, modified, or withdrawn.

### ***Process Roles***

**Board of Trustees** — The NERC Board of Trustees shall consider for adoption as reliability standards the standards that have been approved by a ballot pool. Once the board adopts a reliability standard, compliance with the standard will be enforced consistent with the effective date.

**Stakeholders Committee** — The NERC Stakeholders Committee shall advise the Board of Trustees on reliability standards presented for adoption by the board.

**Standards Authorization Committee (SAC)** — The SAC shall consist of two members of each of the stakeholder segments in the Registered Ballot Body. The SAC shall meet at regularly scheduled intervals (either in person, or by other means) to consider which requests for new or revised standards should be assigned for development. The SAC will manage the standards development process. The responsibilities of the SAC will include: management of the standards work flow so as not to overwhelm available resources; review of standards authorization requests and draft standards for such factors as completeness, sufficient detail, rational result, and compatibility with existing standards; clarifying standard development issues not specified in this manual; and advising the Board of Trustees on standard development matters. Under no circumstance will the SAC change the substance of a draft standard. The standards process manager serves as secretary to the SAC.

**Registered Ballot Body** — The Registered Ballot Body comprises all entities that:

1. Qualify for one of the stakeholder segments approved by the Board of Trustees<sup>2</sup>, and
2. Are registered with NERC as potential ballot participants in the voting on standards, and
3. Are current with any designated fees.

Each member of the Registered Ballot Body is eligible to participate in the voting process (and ballot pool) for each standard action.

**Ballot Pool** — Each standard action has its own ballot pool formed of interested members of the Registered Ballot Body. The ballot pool comprises those members of the Registered Ballot Body that respond to a pre-ballot survey for that particular standard action.

---

<sup>2</sup> Appendix B contains a description of the latest version of the stakeholder segments approved by the Board of Trustees.

The ballot pool will ensure, through its vote, the need for and technical merits of a proposed standard action and the appropriate consideration of views and objections received during the development process. The ballot pool votes to approve each standards action.

**Standards Process Manager** — The reliability standards process shall be administered by a standards process manager. The standards process manager is responsible for ensuring that the development and revision of standards is in accordance with this manual. The standards process manager works to ensure the integrity of the process and consistency of quality and completeness of the reliability standards. The standards process manager facilitates all steps in the process.

**Standards Process Staff** — NERC staff will assist the SAR drafting teams and standard drafting teams.

**Subcommittees, Working Groups, and Task Forces** — The subcommittees, working groups, and task forces within NERC serve an active role in the standards process:

- Initiate standards actions by developing SARs.
- Post comments (views and objections) to standards actions.
- Participate on standard drafting teams.
- Assist in the implementation of approved standards.
- Serve as industry spokespersons by encouraging others within their NERC region and stakeholder segment to participate in the standards development process.
- Serve as industry monitors to assess the impact of a standard's implementation.
- Provide technical oversight in response to changing industry conditions.
- Identify the need for new standards.

**NERC and Regional Reliability Organization Members** — The members of NERC and the regional reliability organizations may initiate new or revised standards and may comment on proposed standards.

**Requester** — A requester is any person (organization, company, government agency, individual, etc.) that submits a complete request for development, revision, or withdrawal of a standard. Any person that is directly and materially affected by an existing standard or the need for a new standard may submit a request for a new standard or revision to a standard. The requestor is assisted by the SAR drafting team (if one is appointed by the SAC) to respond to comments and to decide if and when the SAR is forwarded to the SAC with a request to draft a standard. The requestor is responsible for the SAR, assisted by the SAR drafting team, until such time the SAC authorizes development of the standard. The requester has the option at any time to allow the SAR drafting team to assume full responsibility for the SAR. The requester may choose to participate in subsequent standard drafting efforts related to the SAR.

**Compliance Enforcement Program** — The mission of the NERC compliance enforcement program is to manage and enforce compliance with NERC reliability standards. The development of a reliability standard, in particular the measures and compliance elements of the standard, shall have direct input from the compliance enforcement program. Field testing will also be coordinated with the compliance program. The compliance program director and appropriate working groups shall provide inputs and comments during the standards development process to ensure the measures will be effective and other aspects of the compliance enforcement program can be practically implemented.

**SAR Drafting Team** — A team of technical experts assigned to a SAR, that:

- Assists in refining the SAR,
- Considers and responds to comments, and
- Participates in industry forums to help build consensus on the SAR.

**Standard Drafting Team** — A team of technical experts, approved by the SAC, that:

- Develops the details of the standard,
- Considers and responds to comments, and
- Participates in industry forums to help build consensus on posted draft standards.

**Joint Interface Committee (JIC)** — The JIC's purpose is to ensure that the development of wholesale electric business practices and reliability standards is harmonized and that every effort is made to minimize duplication of effort between NERC and the North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB). The JIC is staffed by representatives of NERC, NAESB, and the ISO/RTO Council and is governed by the provisions of a Memorandum of Understanding executed by the three entities. The JIC will review all standards development proposals received by NERC and NAESB to determine whether NERC or NAESB should develop a particular standard. The JIC will also coordinate the annual work plans of the three organizations.

## Reliability Standards Consensus Development Process

---

### Overview

The process for developing and approving reliability standards is generally based on the procedures of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) and other standards-setting organizations in the United States and Canada. The NERC process has the following characteristics:

- **Due process** — Any person with a direct and material interest has a right to participate by: a) expressing an opinion and its basis, b) having that position considered, and c) appealing if adversely affected.
- **Openness** — Participation is open to all persons who are directly and materially affected by North American bulk electric system reliability. There shall be no undue financial barriers to participation. Participation shall not be conditional upon membership in NERC or any organization, and shall not be unreasonably restricted on the basis of technical qualifications or other such requirements. All meetings of the SAC and drafting teams shall be open and publicly noticed on the NERC web site.
- **Balance** — The NERC standards development process shall have a balance of interests and shall not be dominated by any single interest category.

The NERC process is intended to develop consensus, on both the need for the standard, and the proposed standard itself. The process includes the following key elements:

- **Nomination of a proposed standard, revision to a standard, or withdrawal of a standard** using a Standard Authorization Request (SAR).
- **Public posting of the SAR** to allow all parties to review and provide comments on the need for the proposed standard and the expected outcomes and impacts from implementing the proposed standard. Notice of standards shall provide an opportunity for participation by all directly and materially affected persons.
- **Review of the public comments** in response to the SAR and prioritization of proposed standards, leading to the authorization to develop standards for which there is a consensus-based need.
- **Assignment of teams** to draft the new or revised standard.
- **Drafting of the standard.**
- **Public posting of the draft standard** to allow all parties to review and provide comments on the draft standard. Once the need for the standard has been established by a SAR, comments should focus on aspects of the draft standard itself.
- **Field-testing of the draft standard** and measures. The SAC shall determine the need and extent of field-testing, considering the recommendations of the NERC compliance program director and the standard drafting team. Field-testing may be industry-wide or may consist of one or more lesser-scale demonstrations. Field-testing should be cost effective and practical, yet sufficient to ensure clarity of the standard and to validate the requirements, measures, measurement processes, and other elements of the standard necessary to implement the compliance program. For some standards and their associated measures, field-testing may not be appropriate, such as those measures that consist of administrative reports.

- **Formal balloting of the standard** for approval by the ballot pool, using the NERC Weighted Segment Voting Model.
- **Re-ballot to consider specific comments** by those submitting comments with negative votes.
- **Adoption by the Board of Trustees.**
- **An appeals mechanism** as appropriate for the impartial handling of substantive and procedural complaints regarding action or inaction related to the standards process.

The first three steps in the process serve to establish consensus on the need for the standard.

### **Step 1 — Request a Standard or Revision to an Existing Standard**

***Objective:** A valid SAR that clearly justifies the purpose and describes the scope of the proposed standard action and conforms to the requirements of a SAR outlined in Appendix A.*

***Sequence Considerations:** Submitting a valid SAR is the first step in proposing a standard action. A requester may prepare a draft of the proposed standard action (Step 5), which the SAC may authorize for concurrent posting with the SAR.. This could be useful for a standard action with a clearly defined and limited scope or one for which stakeholder consensus on the need and scope is likely. Complex standards where broad debate of issues is required should be presented in two stages – the SAR first to get agreement on the scope and purpose, and the standard later in Step 6.*

Requests to develop, revise, or withdraw<sup>3</sup> a reliability standard shall be submitted to the standards process manager by completing a SAR. The SAR is a description of the new or revised standard. The SAR provides sufficiently descriptive detail to clearly define the scope of the standard. The SAR also states the purpose of the standard. A needs statement will provide the detailed justification for the development or revision of the standard, including an assessment of the reliability and market interface impacts of implementing or not implementing the standard. Appendix A provides a sample of the information in a SAR. The standards process manager shall maintain this form and make it available electronically.

Any person or entity directly or materially affected by an existing standard or the need for a new or revised standard may initiate a SAR.

The requester will submit the SAR to the standards process manager electronically and the standards process manager will electronically acknowledge receipt of the SAR. The standards process manager will assist the submitting party in developing the SAR and verify that the SAR conforms to this manual.

The standards process manager shall forward all properly completed SARs to the SAC. The SAC shall meet at established intervals to review all pending SARs. The frequency of this review process will depend on workload, but in no case shall a properly completed SAR wait for SAC action more than 30 days from the date of receipt. This review will determine if the SAR is sufficiently stated to guide standard development and whether the SAR is consistent with requirements in the manual. The SAC, guided by the reliability and market interface principles, may take one of the following actions:

---

<sup>3</sup> Actions in the remaining steps of the standards process apply to proposed new standards, revisions to existing standards, or withdrawal of existing standards, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

- Remand the SAR back to the standards process manager for additional work. In this case, the standards process manager may request additional information for the SAR from the requester and will advise the requester of the SAC's reasons for remanding the SAR within 10 days of the action.
- Accept the SAR as a candidate for a new or revised standard, and authorize posting of the SAR for stakeholder comment.
- Reject the SAR. If the SAC rejects a SAR, it will provide a written explanation for rejection to the requester within 10 days of the rejection decision.

If the SAC accepts a SAR as a candidate for a new or revised standard, it may at its discretion appoint a SAR drafting team. The SAR drafting team would be tasked with assisting the requester in further developing the SAR and considering stakeholder comments on that SAR. The SAC may also choose to allow the requester to perform these tasks.

If the SAC remands or rejects a SAR, the requester may file an appeal following the appeals process provided in this manual.

The status of SARs shall be tracked electronically. The SAR and its status shall be posted for public viewing including any actions or decisions.

### **Step 2 — Solicit Public Comments on the SAR**

***Objective:** Establish that there is stakeholder consensus on the need, scope and applicability of the requestor's proposed standard action.*

***Sequence Considerations:** A SAR may be posted only after completion of Step 1. A SAR may, at the discretion of the SAC, be posted for comment concurrently with a draft standard (Step 6). In this case the draft standard would have a conditional status until the JIC assigns development of the standard to NERC.*

Once a SAR has been accepted by the SAC as a candidate for the development of a new or revised standard, the SAR will be posted for the purpose of soliciting public comments, as soon as practical as determined by the SAC. SARs will be posted and publicly noticed at regularly scheduled intervals. Establishment of a regular time for posting of SARs will allow interested parties to know when to expect the next set of SARs.

Comments on the SARs will be accepted for at least a 30-day period from the notice of posting. Comments will be accepted online using an internet-based application. The standards process manager will provide a copy of the comments to the requester and the SAR drafting team, if one has been appointed. Based on the comments, the requester may decide to submit the SAR for authorization to develop the standard, to withdraw the SAR, or to revise and resubmit it to the standards process manager for another posting, as soon as practical as determined by the SAC. If appointed, the SAR drafting team shall assist the requester in the reviewing comments, determining whether to continue or not, and making any necessary revisions for another posting.

The SAC is responsible for the work flow of standards development. Based on the SAR priority, comments received, and an evaluation of available resources, the SAC will determine the appropriate timing of postings after the initial SAR posting and comment period.

The requester, assisted by the SAR drafting team if one is appointed, shall give prompt consideration to the written views and objections of all participants. An effort to resolve all expressed objections shall be made and each objector shall be advised of the disposition of the objection and the reasons therefore. In addition, each objector shall be informed that an appeals procedure exists within the NERC standards process.

While there is no established limit on the number of times a SAR may be posted for comment, the SAC retains the right to reverse its prior decision and reject a SAR if it believes continued revisions are not productive. Once again, the SAC shall notify the requester in writing of the rejection following the appeals procedure.

During the SAR comment process, the requester may become aware of potential regional differences related to the proposed standard. To the extent possible, any regional differences or exceptions should be made a part of the SAR so that, if the SAR is authorized, such variations will be made a part of the draft new or revised standard.

The requester, up to this point in the development process, may elect to withdraw the request at any time. Once the SAC authorizes development of a standard based on the SAR (Step 3) the requester may no longer withdraw the SAR, as it becomes the responsibility of the drafting team working on behalf of all stakeholders.

### **Step 3 — Authorization to Proceed With Drafting a New or Revised Standard**

***Objective:** Authorize development of a standard that is consistent with a SAR and for which there is stakeholder consensus on the need, scope and applicability.*

***Sequence Considerations:** The SAC may formally authorize the development of a standard action only after due consideration of SAR comments to determine there is consensus on the need, scope and applicability of the proposed standard. This does not preclude, however, the requester from previously preparing a draft standard for consideration and the SAC from authorizing a concurrent posting of the draft standard for comment along with the SAR. If a draft standard is posted for comment concurrently with the SAR, it is with the understanding that further development of the draft standard is conditioned on achieving stakeholder consensus through comments on the associated SAR and assignment of the standard by the JIC to NERC for development.*

After receiving public comments on the SAR, the requester may decide to submit the SAR to the SAC for authorization to draft the standard. The SAC reviews the comments received in response to the SAR and any revisions to the SAR.

Prior to authorizing a standard for development, the SAC will coordinate the proposed standard request with the JIC and request that the JIC assign the standard to NERC for development. The SAC may submit the SAR to the JIC for consideration at any time during Steps 1 or 2.

The SAC, once again considering the reliability and market interface principles and considering the public comments received and their resolution, may then take one of the following actions:

- Authorize drafting the proposed standard or revisions to a standard.
- Reject the SAR with a written explanation to the requester and post that explanation.

If the SAC rejects a SAR, the requester may file an appeal.

Once the SAC authorizes development of the standard, the SAC shall assign responsibility for the development of the standard to one or more drafting teams as appropriate. At that time, the requester no longer has responsibility for managing the standard request.

#### **Step 4 — Appoint Standard Drafting Team**

***Objective:** Appoint a standard drafting team that has the expertise, competencies, and diversity of views that are necessary to develop the standard.*

***Sequence Considerations:** The SAC may appoint a standard drafting team concurrently with or after authorization of the development of a standard (Step 3).*

Once a SAR has been authorized for development of a standard by the SAC, the SAC shall determine the method for populating a standard drafting team. Typically, the SAC would direct the conduct of a public nominations process to populate the standard drafting team. In some cases, the SAC may appoint the members of the SAR drafting team or the requester to act as the standard drafting team. If this method of populating a drafting team is used, the SAC shall still solicit additional members through a public solicitation of nominees and appoint additional members as needed.

The standards process manager shall post a request that interested parties complete a standard drafting team nomination form. Those individuals who complete and submit these self-nomination forms shall be considered for appointment to the associated standard drafting team. The standards process manager shall recommend a list of candidates for appointment to the team and shall submit the list to the SAC. The SAC may accept the recommendations of the standards process manager or may select other individuals to serve on the standard drafting team. This team shall consist of a group of people who collectively have the necessary technical expertise and work process skills. The SAC shall appoint the standard drafting team, including its officers. The standards process manager shall assign staff personnel as needed to assist in the drafting of the standard.

The SAC may, in lieu of an open nomination, use the SAR drafting team (if one was appointed) or the requester as the standard drafting team. The SAC should consider this option only if the necessary expertise, competencies, and diversity of views (to respond fairly to comments) is addressed. If the SAR drafting team or requester is not utilized as the standard drafting team, individuals associated with either may be nominated through the open process to join the standard drafting team.

Once it is appointed by the SAC, the standard drafting team is responsible for making recommendations to the SAC regarding the remaining steps in the standards process. The requestor may continue to assist the drafting team and participate in the standards process.

The SAC may decide that more than one drafting team is required for a standard action and divide the SAR into multiple efforts. The SAC may also supplement the membership of a standard drafting team at any time to ensure the necessary competencies and diversity of views are maintained throughout the standard development effort.

#### **Step 5 — Draft New or Revised Standard**

***Objective:** Develop a standard within the scope of the SAR.*

***Sequence Considerations:** Generally development of the draft standard follows the authorization by the SAC (Step 3) and appointment of a standard drafting team (Step 4). Steps 5 and 6 may be iterated as necessary to consider stakeholder comments and build consensus on the draft standard.*

The appointed standard drafting team will develop a draft of the standard. In addition to drafting the text of the standard, development may include research, analysis, information gathering, testing, and other activities. The drafting of measures and compliance elements of the standard will be coordinated with the compliance program.

The drafting team may use a draft standard submitted by the requester as its initial draft, if one was submitted by the requester concurrently with the SAR.

Once the standard has been drafted, the standards process manager will review the standard for consistency of quality and completeness. The standards process manager will also ensure the draft standard is within the scope and purpose identified in the SAR. This review should occur within a 30-day period of the submittal of the draft standard. Once the standards process manager has completed this review, the new or revised standard will be submitted to the SAC to request posting for public comment.

The SAC should authorize posting of draft standards in a timely manner, but may consider priorities among various standards actions and the ability of stakeholders to review multiple actions at the same time. SAC will approve the posting and set the posting start and end dates.

If the standard drafting team determines that the scope of the SAR is inappropriate based on its own work and stakeholder comments, the team shall notify the SAC. The drafting team may recommend the scope of the standard be reduced to allow the effort to continue forward, while still remaining within the scope of the SAR. Reducing the scope defined in the SAR is acceptable if the drafting team finds, for instance, that additional technical research is needed prior to developing a portion of the standard or issues need to be resolved before consensus can be achieved on a portion of the standard. In this case, the drafting team shall provide detailed justification of need for reducing the scope. The SAC, based on the drafting team recommendation and a review of stakeholder comments, will determine if the change in scope is acceptable.

If the standard drafting team determines it is necessary to expand the scope of the standard or to modify the scope in a way that is no longer consistent with the scope defined in the SAR, then the drafting team may initiate or recommend another requestor initiate a new SAR (Step 1) to develop the expanded or modified scope. At no time will a drafting team develop a standard that is not within the scope of the SAR that was authorized for development.

### **Step 6 — Solicit Public Comments on Draft Standard**

***Objective:** Receive stakeholder inputs on the draft standard for the purpose of assessing consensus on the draft standard, and modifying the draft standard as needed to improve consensus.*

***Sequence Considerations:** The posting of a draft standard will typically occur after the appointment of a standard drafting team and development of a draft by the team. Alternatively, a draft standard submitted by the requestor may be posted for comment concurrently with the associated SAR, with the condition that the SAR and draft standard meet the requirements of this manual and are consistent with each other. In all cases, public comments on the draft standard must be solicited prior to SAC approving the standard going to ballot (Step 9).*

Once the SAC approves the posting of a draft standard and sets the posting start and end dates, the standards process manager will post the draft standard in the next regular posting interval for the purpose of soliciting public comments. The posting of the draft standard will be linked to the SAR for reference. Comments on the draft standard will be accepted for at least one 45-day period from the notice of posting.

Additional posting periods may be set by the SAC and shall be at least 30 days. Comments will be accepted online using an internet-based application along with other electronic means as necessary.

Since the need for the standard was established by authorization of the SAR, comments at this stage should identify specific issues with the draft standard and propose alternative language. The comments may include recommendations to accept or reject the standard and reasons for that recommendation.

The drafting team shall develop an implementation plan for the standard to be posted with the standard for at least one stakeholder comment period. Once the implementation plan has been developed and posted for stakeholder comment, it shall remain part of the standard action for subsequent postings and shall be included on the ballot for the standard. The implementation plan shall describe when the standard will become effective. If the implementation is to be phased, the plan will describe which elements of the standard are to be applied to each class of responsible entities, and when. The plan will describe any deployment considerations unique to the standard, such as computer applications, measurement devices, databases, or training, as well as any other special steps necessary to prepare for and initially implement the standard.

### **Step 7 — Field Testing**

***Objective:** Determine what testing is required to validate the concepts, requirements, measures and compliance elements of the standard and implement that testing.*

***Sequence Considerations:** Testing may be completed during or after Steps 1 through 6. Testing and associated analysis of results (Step 8) must be completed prior to determining whether to submit the standard to ballot (Step 9).*

Taking into consideration stakeholder comments received through Step 6, the standard drafting team may recommend to the SAC that a test of one or more aspects of a standard is needed. The NERC compliance program director will also evaluate whether field-testing of the compliance elements of the proposed new or revised standard is needed and advise the SAC. The SAC will approve all field tests of proposed standards based on the recommendations of the standard drafting team and the compliance program director. If needed, the SAC will also request inputs on technical matters from applicable standing committees or other experts.

Once the field testing plan is approved, the standards process manager will, under the direction of the SAC, oversee the field-testing of the standard.

In some cases, measurement may be an administrative task and no field-testing is required at all. In other cases, one or more limited-scale demonstrations may be sufficient. Comments may be solicited during the field test period.

### **Step 8 — Analysis of the Comments and Field Test Results**

***Objective:** Evaluate stakeholder comments and field test results to determine if there is consensus that the proposed standard should go to ballot or requires additional work.*

***Sequence Considerations:** This step follows Steps 6 and 7 and must precede Step 9.*

The standards process manager will assemble the comments on the draft standard and distribute those comments to the standard drafting team and the requester. The standard drafting team, assisted by the requester, shall give prompt consideration to the written views and objections of all participants. An

effort to resolve all expressed objections shall be made, and each objector shall be advised of the disposition of the objection and the reasons therefore, in addition to public posting of the responses. In addition, each objector shall be informed that an appeals process exists within the NERC standards process.

Based on comments received, the drafting team may determine there is an opportunity to improve consensus for the standard. In this case, the standard drafting team may elect to return to Step 5 and revise the draft for another posting. Although there is no predetermined limit on the number of times a draft standard may be revised and posted, the drafting team should ensure the potential benefits of another posting outweigh the burden on the drafting team and stakeholders. Returning to Step 5 to continue working on the standard is the prerogative of the drafting team, subject to SAC oversight.

If the standard drafting team determines the draft standard is ready for ballot, the drafting team shall submit the draft standard to the SAC with a request to proceed to balloting, along with the comments received and responses to the comments. Based on the comments received and field-testing, the standard drafting team may include revisions that are not substantive. Substantive changes to a draft standard shall not be permitted between the last posting for stakeholder comment and submittal for ballot. A substantive change is one that directly and materially affects the effect or use of the standard. Any non-substantive changes made prior to going to ballot shall be identified to stakeholders at the time of the ballot notice.

When the SAC receives a draft standard that is recommended for ballot, the SAC will review the standard to ensure that the proposed standard is consistent with the scope of the SAR; addresses all of the objectives cited in Steps 1-8, as applicable; and is compatible with other existing standards. If the proposed standard does not pass this review, the SAC shall remand the proposed standard to the standard drafting team to address the deficiencies. If the proposed standard passes the review, the SAC shall set the proposed standard for ballot as soon as the work flow will accommodate.

If the drafting team determines there is insufficient consensus to ballot the standard and that further work is unlikely to achieve consensus, the drafting team may recommend to the SAC that the standard drafting be terminated and the SAR withdrawn. The SAC will consider the recommendation of the drafting team and stakeholder comments and may terminate the standard drafting and accept the withdrawal of the SAR. If the SAC believes the recommendation is unsubstantiated, the SAC may direct other actions consistent with this manual, such as requesting the drafting team to continue or appointing a new drafting team.

### **Step 9 — Ballot the New or Revised Standard**

*Objective: Approve the proposed standard by vote of industry stakeholders.*

*Sequence Considerations: The SAC shall determine that all requirements of Steps 1 through 8 have been satisfactorily met before authorizing an action to go to ballot.*

#### **Ballot Pool**

The Standards Process Manager shall establish a ballot pool for a standard action at least 30 days prior to the start of a ballot and no later than the final posting of a draft standard for comment. The standards process manager shall send a notice to every entity in the Registered Ballot Body. The purpose of this notice is to establish a ballot pool to participate in the consensus development process and ballot the proposed standards action. The ballot pool may be established early in the standards development process to encourage active participation in the development process.

Any member of the Registered Ballot Body may join or drop out of a ballot pool until the ballot period begins (Step 9). No Registered Ballot Body member may join or leave the ballot pool once the first ballot starts, including between the first ballot and a recirculation ballot. The standards process manager shall coordinate changes to the membership of the ballot pool and publicly post the standard ballot pool for each standard action.

### ***First Ballot***

If a decision is made to submit the draft standard to a vote, the draft standard, all comments received, and the responses to those comments shall be posted electronically to the ballot pool and noticed at least 30 days prior to the start of the ballot.

The ballot will be conducted electronically. Each standard has its own ballot pool and all members of the ballot pool shall be eligible to vote on the associated standard. The time window for voting will be designated when the draft standard is posted to the ballot pool. In no case will the voting time window start sooner than 30 days from the notice of the posting to the ballot pool. Typically, the voting time window will be a period of ten days. This provides a total of 40 days from the initial notice until the end of the voting period.

Approval of a reliability standard or revision to a reliability standard requires both:

- A quorum, which is established by at least 75% of the members of the ballot pool submitting a response with an affirmative vote, a negative vote, or an abstention<sup>4</sup>; and
- A two-thirds majority of the weighted segment votes cast must be affirmative. The number of votes cast is the sum of affirmative and negative votes, excluding abstentions, and non-responses.

The following process is used to determine if there are sufficient affirmative votes. (See Appendix C, “Examples of Weighted Segment Voting Calculation.”):

- The number of affirmative votes cast in each segment will be divided by the sum of affirmative and negative votes cast to determine the fractional affirmative vote for each segment. Abstentions and non-responses will not be counted for the purposes of determining the fractional affirmative vote for a segment.
- The sum of the fractional affirmative votes from all segments divided by the number of segments voting will be used to determine if a two-thirds majority has been achieved. (A segment will be considered as “voting” if any member of the segment in the ballot pool casts either an affirmative or a negative vote.)
- A standard will be approved if the sum of fractional affirmative votes from all segments divided by the number of voting segments is greater than two thirds.

Each member of the ballot pool may vote on one of the following positions:

---

<sup>4</sup> If a quorum of the ballot pool is not established, the standard will be balloted a second time, allowing a 15-business day period for the ballot. Should a quorum not be established with the second ballot, the standards process manager would re-survey the Registered Ballot Body to establish interest in participating in a ballot on the standard in accordance with the procedures in this manual. A re-ballot of the standard will take place with the revised standard ballot pool.

- Affirmative
- Affirmative, with comment
- Negative, with or without reasons (the reasons for a negative vote may be given and if possible should include specific wording or actions that would resolve the objection)
- Abstain

Members of the ballot pool should submit any comments on the proposed standard during the public comment period. If any comments are received during the ballot period, they shall be addressed in accordance with Step 8 and included with the recirculation ballot. The standards process manager shall facilitate the standard drafting team, assisted by the requester, in preparing a response to all votes submitted with reasons. The member submitting a vote with reasons will determine if the response provided satisfies those reasons. In addition, each objector shall be informed that an appeals process exists within the NERC standards process. A negative vote that does not contain a statement of reason does not require a response.

If there are no negative votes with reasons from the first ballot, then the results of the first ballot shall stand. If, however, one or more members submit negative votes with reasons, regardless whether those reasons are resolved or not, a second ballot shall be conducted.

### ***Second Ballot***

In the second ballot (also called a “re-circulation ballot”), members of the ballot pool shall again be presented the proposed standard (unchanged from the first ballot) along with the reasons for negative votes, the responses, and any resolution of the differences. All members of the ballot pool shall be permitted to reconsider and change their vote from the first ballot. Members of the ballot pool that did not respond to the first ballot shall be permitted to vote in the second ballot. In the second ballot, votes will be counted by exception only — members on the second ballot may indicate a revision to their original vote, otherwise their vote shall remain the same as in the first ballot. If a second ballot is conducted, the results of the second ballot shall determine the status of the standard, regardless of the outcome of the first ballot.

The voting time window for the second ballot is once again ten days. The 30-day posting is not required for the second ballot. Members of the ballot pool may submit comments in the second ballot but no response is required.

In the second ballot step, no revisions to the standard are permitted, as such revisions would not have been subject to public comment. However, if the SAC determines that revisions proposed during the ballot process would likely provide an opportunity to achieve consensus on the standard, then such revisions may be made and the draft standard posted for public comment again beginning with Step 6 and continuing with subsequent steps.

The standards process manager shall post the final outcome of the ballot process. If the standard is rejected, the process is ended and any further work in this area would require a new SAR. If the standard is approved, the consensus standard will be posted and presented to the Board of Trustees for adoption by NERC.

## **Step 10 — Adoption of the Reliability Standard by the Board**

***Objective:** To have the Board of Trustees adopt the standard as a NERC standard, and adopt the associated implementation plan.*

***Sequence Considerations:** The 30-day notice prior to action by the Board of Trustees may begin concurrently with or any time after the start of the first ballot. The 30-day period shall not end any sooner than the end of the final ballot.*

A reliability standard submitted for adoption by the Board of Trustees must be publicly posted and noticed at least 30 days prior to action by the Board of Trustees. At a regular or special meeting, the Board of Trustees shall consider adoption of the proposed reliability standard. The board shall consider the results of the balloting and dissenting opinions. The board shall consider any advice offered by the NERC Stakeholders Committee. The board shall adopt or reject a standard, but may not modify a proposed reliability standard. If the board chooses not to adopt a standard, it shall provide its reasons for not doing so.

A reliability standard that is adopted by the board shall become effective on a date designated by the board in accordance with the implementation plan. The standard will be publicly posted, showing the final status.

## **Step 11 — Implementation of Reliability Standard**


***Objective:** Industry stakeholders use the standard and the compliance program incorporates the standard into its compliance monitoring and enforcement.*

***Sequence Considerations:** The effective date of a standard is approved as part of the standard implementation plan and shall not be sooner than adoption by the Board.*

Once a reliability standard is adopted and made effective in accordance with the implementation plan, all persons and organizations subject to the bylaws of NERC are required to comply with the standard in accordance with those bylaws and other applicable agreements. The Board of Trustees has established a separate compliance program to measure compliance with the standards and administer sanctions as appropriate. After adoption of a NERC reliability standard, the standard will be forwarded to the compliance program for compliance monitoring and enforcement.

Reliability standards may, at the discretion of the board, be filed with applicable regulatory agencies in the United States, Canada, and Mexico.

**Process Diagram**



## Special Procedures

---

### ***Urgent Actions***

Under certain conditions, the SAC may designate a proposed standard or revision to a standard as requiring urgent action. Urgent action may be appropriate when a delay in implementing a proposed standard or revision can materially impact reliability of the bulk electric systems. The SAC must use its judgment carefully to ensure an urgent action is truly necessary and not simply an expedient way to change or implement a standard.

A requester prepares a SAR and a draft of the proposed standard and submits it to the standards process manager. The SAR must include a justification for urgent action. The standards process manager submits the request to the SAC for its consideration. If the SAC designates the requested standard or revision as an urgent action item, then the standards process manager shall immediately seek participants for a ballot pool (as described in Step 3 of the process) and shall post the draft. This posting requires a minimum 30-day posting period before the ballot and applies the same voting procedure as described in Step 9.

Any standard approved as an urgent action shall have a termination date specified that shall not exceed one year from the approval date. Should there be a need to make the standard permanent, then the standard would be required to go through the full consensus process.

Urgent actions that expire may be renewed using the urgent action process again, in the event a permanent standard is not adopted. In determining whether to authorize an urgent action standard for a renewal ballot, the SAC shall consider the impact of the standard on the reliability of the bulk electric system and whether expeditious progress is being made toward a permanent replacement standard. The SAC shall not authorize a renewal ballot if there is insufficient progress toward adopting a permanent replacement standard or if the SAC lacks confidence that a reasonable completion date is achievable. The intent is to ensure that an urgent action standard does not in effect take on a degree of permanence due to the lack of an expeditious effort to develop a permanent replacement standard. With these principles, there is no predetermined limit on the number of times an urgent action may be renewed. However, each urgent action standard renewal shall be effective only upon approval by a ballot pool and adoption by the Board.

Any person or entity, including the drafting team working on a permanent replacement standard, may at any time submit a SAR proposing that an urgent action standard become a permanent standard by following the full standards process.

### ***Interpretations of Standards***

All persons who are directly and materially affected by the reliability of the North American bulk electric systems shall be permitted to request an interpretation of the standard. The person requesting an interpretation will send a request to the standards process manager explaining the specific circumstances surrounding the request and what clarifications are required as applied to those circumstances. The request should indicate the material impact to the requesting party or others caused by the lack of clarity or a possibly incorrect interpretation of the standard.

The standards process manager will assemble a team with the relevant expertise to address the clarification. The standards process manager shall also form a ballot pool.

As soon as practical (not more than 45 days), the team will draft a written interpretation to the standard addressing the issues raised. Balloting shall take place as described in Step 9 of this manual. If approved,

the interpretation is appended to the standard and is effective immediately. The interpretation will stand until such time as the standard is revised through the normal process, at which time the standard will be modified to incorporate the clarifications provided by the interpretation.

### ***Regional Differences***

A regional difference is an aspect of a NERC reliability standard that applies only within a given region or regions. A regional difference may be used, for example, to exempt a particular region from all or a portion of a NERC reliability standard that does not apply in that region. A regional difference may establish different measures or performance criteria as necessary to achieve reliability within that region.

To the maximum extent feasible, regional differences should be addressed through the NERC standards process and incorporated into and approved as part of the NERC reliability standard. In all cases, if a requirement would otherwise be inconsistent with or less stringent than a NERC reliability standard, then that regional difference shall be made part of the NERC reliability standard.

Regional differences should be identified and considered when the SAR is posted for comment. Regional differences should also be considered in the drafting of a standard, with the intent to make any necessary regional differences a part of the standard. Public comments on the draft standard provide a second opportunity to ensure necessary regional differences have been accommodated in the draft. The public posting also allows for all impacted parties to identify the requirements of a NERC reliability standard as applied within all regions and interconnections.

Regional differences that are proposed to be made part of a NERC reliability standard shall be considered during the NERC standards process in accordance with the criteria for regional standards and regional differences section below. These criteria provide that:

- Interconnection-wide regional differences are presumed to be valid, and there is a burden of proof to demonstrate otherwise in accordance with the stated criteria; and
- Regional differences that are not applied on an interconnection-wide basis are not presumed to be valid but may be demonstrated by the proponent to be valid in accordance with the stated criteria.

### ***Regional Standards***

Regions may develop, through their own processes, separate regional standards that go beyond, add detail to, or implement NERC reliability standards, or that cover matters not addressed in NERC reliability standards. Regional standards may be developed and exist separately from NERC reliability standards, or may be proposed as NERC reliability standards. Regional standards that exist separately from NERC reliability standards shall not be inconsistent with or less stringent than NERC reliability standards.

A regional standard that is proposed to be made a NERC reliability standard shall be considered during the NERC standards process in accordance with the criteria for regional standards and regional differences section below. These criteria provide that:

- Interconnection-wide regional standards are presumed to be valid, and there is a burden of proof to demonstrate otherwise in accordance with the stated criteria; and
- Regional standards that are not applied on an interconnection-wide basis are not presumed to be valid but may be demonstrated by the proponent to be valid in accordance with the stated criteria.

### ***Criteria for Regional Standards and Regional Differences***

Proposals for regional standards or regional differences that are intended to apply on an interconnection-wide basis shall be presumed to be valid and included in a NERC reliability standard unless there is a clear demonstration within the NERC standards process that the proposed regional standard or regional difference:

- Was not developed in a fair and open process that provided an opportunity for all interested parties to participate;
- Would have a significant adverse impact on reliability or commerce in other Interconnections;
- Fails to provide a level of reliability of the bulk electric system within the interconnection such that the regional standard would be likely to cause a serious and substantial threat to public health, safety, welfare, or national security; or
- Would create a serious and substantial burden on competitive markets within the interconnection that is not necessary for reliability.

Proposals for regional standards or regional differences that are intended to apply only to part of an Interconnection will be included in a NERC reliability standard only if the proponent demonstrates that the proposed regional standard or regional difference:

- Was developed in a fair and open process that provided an opportunity for all interested parties to participate;
- Would not have an adverse impact on commerce that is not necessary for reliability;
- Provides a level of bulk electric system reliability that is adequate to protect public health, safety, welfare, and national security and would not have a significant adverse impact on reliability; and
- Is based on a justifiable difference between regions or between subregions within the regional organization's geographic area.

### ***Appeals***

Persons who have directly and materially affected interests and who have been or will be adversely affected by any substantive or procedural action or inaction related to the development, approval, revision, reaffirmation, or withdrawal of a reliability standard shall have the right to appeal. This appeals process applies only to the NERC reliability standards process as defined in this manual.

The burden of proof to show adverse effect shall be on the appellant. Appeals shall be made within 30 days of the date of the action purported to cause the adverse effect, except appeals for inaction, which may be made at any time. In all cases, the request for appeal must be made prior to the next step in the process.

The final decisions of any appeal shall be documented in writing and made public.

The appeals process provides two levels, with the goal of expeditiously resolving the issue to the satisfaction of the participants:

#### ***Level 1 Appeal***

Level 1 is the required first step in the appeals process. The appellant submits to the standards process manager a complaint in writing that describes the substantive or procedural action or inaction associated with a reliability standard or the standards process. The appellant describes in the complaint the actual or potential adverse impact to the appellant. Assisted by any necessary staff and committee resources, the standards process manager shall prepare a written response addressed to the appellant as soon as practical but not more than 45 days after receipt of the complaint. If the appellant accepts the response as a satisfactory resolution of the issue, both the complaint and response will be made a part of the public record associated with the standard.

### ***Level 2 Appeal***

If after the Level 1 Appeal the appellant remains unsatisfied with the resolution, as indicated by the appellant in writing to the standards process manager, the standards process manager shall convene a Level 2 Appeals Panel. This panel shall consist of five members total appointed by the Board of Trustees. In all cases, Level 2 Appeals Panel members shall have no direct affiliation with the participants in the appeal.

The standards process manager shall post the complaint and other relevant materials and provide at least 30 days notice of the meeting of the Level 2 Appeals Panel. In addition to the appellant, any person that is directly and materially affected by the substantive or procedural action or inaction referenced in the complaint shall be heard by the panel. The panel shall not consider any expansion of the scope of the appeal that was not presented in the Level 1 Appeal. The panel may in its decision find for the appellant and remand the issue to the SAC with a statement of the issues and facts in regard to which fair and equitable action was not taken. The panel may find against the appellant with a specific statement of the facts that demonstrate fair and equitable treatment of the appellant and the appellant's objections. The panel may not, however, revise, approve, disapprove, or adopt a reliability standard, as these responsibilities remain with the standard's ballot pool and Board of Trustees respectively. The actions of the Level 2 Appeals Panel shall be publicly posted.

In addition to the foregoing, a procedural objection that has not been resolved may be submitted to the Board of Trustees for consideration at the time the board decides whether to adopt a particular reliability standard. The objection must be in writing, signed by an officer of the objecting entity, and contain a concise statement of the relief requested and a clear demonstration of the facts that justify that relief. The objection must be filed no later than 30 days after the announcement of the vote by the ballot pool on the reliability standard in question.

## **Maintenance of Reliability Standards and Process**

---

### ***Parliamentary Procedures***

Except as required by this manual or other NERC documents, all meetings conducted as part of the standards process shall be guided by the latest version of Robert's Rules of Order.

### ***Process Revisions***

#### ***Requests to Revise the Reliability Standards Process Manual***

Any person or entity, including the SAC, may submit a written request to modify the reliability standards Process Manual. The SAC shall oversee the handling of the request. The SAC shall prioritize all requests, merge related requests, and respond to each requestor within 90 days. The SAC shall classify each request into one of two types: 1) a procedural/administrative revision, or 2) a change affecting one or more "fundamental tenets" (described later).

#### ***Abbreviated Process for Procedural/Administrative Changes***

The SAC shall handle all procedural/administrative requests using an abbreviated process described here. The SAC shall post all proposed procedural/administrative revisions to the reliability standards Process Manual for a 30-day public comment period. The SAC shall consider all comments received and modify the proposed revisions as needed. Based on the degree of consensus for the revisions, the SAC may:

- a. Submit the revised manual directly to the board for adoption;
- b. Submit the revised manual for ballot pool approval prior to submitting it for board adoption (the regular voting process in the manual, including a recirculation ballot if needed, would be used and the results of the ballot would be binding on the decision to move the revisions to the board or not);
- c. Propose additional changes and repeat the posting for additional comments;
- d. Remand the proposal to the requester for further work; or
- e. Reject the proposal.
- f. The SAC shall post any proposed revisions submitted for board adoption for a period of 30 days prior to board action. The SAC shall submit to the board a description of the basis for the manual changes, a summary of the comments received, and any minority views expressed in the comment process. The proposed manual revisions will be effective upon board adoption, or another date designated by the board.

### ***Fundamental Tenets***

Certain provisions of the Reliability Standards Process Manual are considered fundamental tenets and shall be handled using the full approval process described below. These fundamental tenets shall be modifiable only by approval of the Registered Ballot Body as indicated by vote of a ballot pool. These fundamental tenets include the following:

- Purpose (page 4)

- Authority (page 4)
- Definition of a reliability standard (page 6)
- Characteristics of a reliability standard (page 6)
- Elements of a reliability standard (page 6)
- Registered ballot body (page 10)
- Ballot pool (page 10)
- Subcommittees, working groups, and task forces (page 11)
- Definitions of due process, openness, and balance (page 13)
- Step 9 – Ballot the new or revised standard (pages 20-22)
- Step 10 – Adoption of the reliability standard by the board (page 22)
- Urgent actions (page 24)
- Regional differences (pages 25)
- Regional standards (page 25)
- Criteria for regional differences (pages 25-26)
- Appeals process (pages 26-27)
- Process revisions (page 28-30)
- Registration procedures (page 38)
- Segment qualification guidelines (pages 38-39)
- Stakeholder segments (page 39-40)

### ***Process for Changing Fundamental Tenets***

When proceeding with a proposed revision to the Standards Process Manual affecting one or more fundamental tenets, the SAC shall use a full approval process. The SAC shall post the proposed revisions for a 45-day public comment period. Based on the degree of consensus for the revisions, the SAC may:

- a. Submit the revised manual for ballot pool approval;
- b. Repeat the posting for additional inputs after making changes based on comments received;
- c. Remand the proposal to the requester for further work; or
- d. Reject the proposal.

The Registered Ballot Body shall be represented by a ballot pool formed when the proposed revisions are first posted for comment. The ballot procedure shall be the same as that defined for approval of a standard, including the use of a recirculation ballot if needed. If the proposed revision is approved by the ballot pool, the SAC shall submit the revised manual to the board for adoption. The SAC shall post any proposed revisions submitted for board adoption for a period of 30 days prior to board action. The SAC shall submit to the board a description of the basis for the manual changes, a summary of the comments received, and any minority views expressed in the comment and ballot process. The proposed manual revisions will be effective upon board adoption, or another date designated by the board.

The Board of Trustees endorsed the industry segments and weighted segment voting model described in Appendix B of the Reliability Standards Process Manual and reserves the right to change the segments and the weighted segment voting model from time to time at its discretion. This does not preclude others from requesting a change to the segments or weighted segment voting model through the process described here.

### ***Appeals***

Persons who have directly or materially affected interests and who have been or will be adversely affected by any substantive or procedural action or inaction related to revision of the Reliability Standards Process Manual shall have the right to appeal, using the process described under appeals.

### ***Filing of Revisions with ANSI***

NERC staff shall submit revisions to the Reliability Standards Process Manual to ANSI as needed to maintain NERC's status as an ANSI-accredited standards developer.

### ***Standards Process Accreditation***

NERC shall seek continuing ANSI accreditation of the standards process defined by this manual. The standards process manager shall be responsible for administering the accreditation application and maintenance process.

### ***Five-Year Review***

Each reliability standard shall be reviewed at least once every five years from the effective date of the standard or the latest revision to the standard, whichever is later. The review process shall be conducted in accordance with Steps 6, 8, and 9 of the standards process. As a result of this review, a reliability standard shall be reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn. If this review indicates a need to revise or delete the standard, a SAR shall be prepared and submitted in accordance with the standards process. The standard process manager shall be responsible for administration of the five-year review of reliability standards.

### ***Filing of Reliability Standards with Regulatory Agencies***

At the discretion of the Board of Trustees, adopted reliability standards may be filed with applicable regulatory agencies in the United States, Canada, and Mexico.

### ***On-line Standards Information System***

The standards process manager shall be responsible for maintaining an electronic database of information regarding currently proposed and currently in effect reliability standards. This information shall include current standards in effect, proposed revisions to standards, and proposed new standards. This information shall provide a record, for at a minimum the previous five years, of the review and approval process for each reliability standard, including public comments received during the development and approval process. This information shall be available through public internet access.

### ***Archived Standards Information***

The standards process manager shall be responsible for maintaining a historical record of reliability standards information that is no longer maintained on-line. For example, standards that expired or were

replaced may be removed from the on-line system. Also, SARs that are no longer being considered in the standards process may be placed in the archived records. Archived information shall be retained indefinitely as practical, but in no case less than five years or one complete standard cycle from the date on which the standard was no longer in effect. Archived records of standards information shall be available electronically within 30 days following the receipt by the standards process manager of a written request.

### ***Numbering System***

The standards process manager shall establish and maintain a system of identification numbers that allow reliability standards to be categorized and easily referenced.

## Supporting Documents

---

The following documents may be developed to support a reliability standard. These documents may explain or facilitate implementation of standards but do not themselves contain mandatory requirements subject to compliance review. Any requirements that are mandatory shall be incorporated into the standard. For example, a procedure that must be followed as written must be incorporated into a reliability standard. If the procedure defines one way, but not necessarily the only way, to implement a standard it is more appropriately a reference.

Type of Document	Description	Approval
Standard Reference	Descriptive, explanatory information to support the understanding and interpretation of a reliability standard.	Standing Committee
Standard Supplement	Data forms, pro forma documents, and associated instructions that support the implementation of a reliability standard.	Standing Committee
Procedure	Step-wise instructions defining a particular process or operation. Procedures may support the implementation of a reliability standard or satisfy another purpose consistent with the reliability and market interface principles.	Standing Committee
Practice	A convention of behavior. Practices may support the implementation of a reliability standard or satisfy another purpose consistent with the reliability and market interface principles.	Standing Committee
Training Reference	Training materials that may support the implementation of a reliability standard or satisfy another purpose consistent with the reliability and market interface principles.	Standing Committee
Technical Reference	Descriptive, technical information or analysis. A technical reference may support the implementation of a reliability standard or satisfy another purpose consistent with the reliability and market interface principles.	Standing Committee
White Paper	An informal paper stating a position or concept. A white paper may be used to propose preliminary concepts for a standard or one of the documents above.	Standing Committee approves for publication with no implied approval of the concepts or positions in the white paper.

## Appendix A – Information in a Standard Authorization Request

---

The table below provides a representative example<sup>5</sup> of information in a Standard Authorization Request. The standards process manager shall be responsible for implementing and maintaining this form as needed to support the information requirements of the standards process. Standard Authorization Request Form

Title of Proposed Standard:
Request Date:

### **SAR Requestor Information**

Name:	<b>SAR Type (Check box for one of these selections.)</b>
Company:	<input type="checkbox"/> New Standard
Telephone:	<input type="checkbox"/> Revision to Existing Standard
Fax:	<input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawal of Existing Standard
Email:	<input type="checkbox"/> Urgent Action

<b>Purpose</b> (Describe the purpose of the proposed standard – what the standard will achieve in support of reliability.)
--

<b>Industry Need</b> (Provide a detailed statement justifying the need for the proposed standard, along with any supporting documentation.)
---

---

<sup>5</sup> The latest version of this form can be downloaded from the NERC standards development web page:

<http://www.nerc.com/~filez/sar.html>

**Brief Description** (Describe the proposed standard in sufficient detail to clearly define the scope in a manner that can be easily understood by others.)

**Reliability Functions**

<b>The Standard will Apply to the Following Functions</b> (Check box for each one that applies.)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reliability Authority	Ensures the reliability of the bulk transmission system within its reliability authority area. This is the highest reliability authority.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Balancing Authority	Integrates resource plans ahead of time, and maintains load-interchange-resource balance within its metered boundary and supports system frequency in real time
<input type="checkbox"/>	Interchange Authority	Authorizes valid and balanced Interchange Schedules
<input type="checkbox"/>	Planning Authority	Plans the bulk electric system
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transmission Service Provider	Provides transmission services to qualified market participants under applicable transmission service agreements
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transmission Owner	Owens transmission facilities
<input type="checkbox"/>	Transmission Operator	Operates and maintains the transmission facilities, and executes switching orders
<input type="checkbox"/>	Distribution Provider	Provides and operates the “wires” between the transmission system and the customer
<input type="checkbox"/>	Generator	Owens and operates generation unit(s) or runs a market for generation products that performs the functions of supplying energy and interconnected operations services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Purchasing-Selling Entity	The function of purchasing or selling energy, capacity and all necessary interconnected operations services as required
<input type="checkbox"/>	Load-Serving Entity	Secures energy and transmission (and related generation services) to serve the end user

**Reliability and Market Interface Principles**

<b>Applicable Reliability Principles</b> (Check box for all that apply.)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. Interconnected bulk electric systems shall be planned and operated in a coordinated manner to perform reliably under normal and abnormal conditions as defined in the NERC Standards.
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. The frequency and voltage of interconnected bulk electric systems shall be controlled within defined limits through the balancing of real and reactive power supply and demand.
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. Information necessary for the planning and operation of interconnected bulk electric systems shall be made available to those entities responsible for planning and operating the systems reliably.
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. Plans for emergency operation and system restoration of interconnected bulk electric systems shall be developed, coordinated, maintained, and implemented.
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. Facilities for communication, monitoring, and control shall be provided, used, and maintained for the reliability of interconnected bulk electric systems.

<input type="checkbox"/>	6. Personnel responsible for planning and operating interconnected bulk electric systems shall be trained, qualified, and have the responsibility and authority to implement actions.
<input type="checkbox"/>	7. The security of the interconnected bulk electric systems shall be assessed, monitored, and maintained on a wide-area basis.
<b>Does the proposed Standard comply with all of the following Market Interface Principles? (Select 'yes' or 'no' from the drop-down box.)</b>	
1. The planning and operation of bulk electric systems shall recognize that reliability is an essential requirement of a robust North American economy. Yes	
2. A reliability standard shall not give any market participant an unfair competitive advantage. Yes	
3. A reliability standard shall neither mandate nor prohibit any specific market structure. Yes	
4. A reliability standard shall not preclude market solutions to achieving compliance with that standard. Yes	
5. A reliability standard shall not require the public disclosure of commercially sensitive information. All market participants shall have equal opportunity to access commercially non-sensitive information that is required for compliance with reliability standards. Yes	

<p><b>Detailed Description (Provide enough detail so that an independent entity familiar with the industry could draft a standard based on this description.)</b></p>    
---

***Related Standards***

<b>Standard No.</b>	<b>Explanation</b>

***Related SARs***

<b>SAR ID</b>	<b>Explanation</b>

### ***Regional Differences***

<b><i>Region</i></b>	<b><i>Explanation</i></b>
ECAR	
ERCOT	
FRCC	
MAAC	
MAIN	
MRO	
NPCC	
SERC	
SPP	
WECC	

## Appendix B – Development of the Registered Ballot Body<sup>6</sup>

---

### **Registration Procedures**

The Registered Ballot Body comprises all organizations and entities that:

1. Qualify for one of the segments, and
2. Are registered with NERC as potential ballot participants in the voting on standards, and
3. Are current with any designated fees.

Each participant, when initially registering to join the Registered Ballot Body, and annually thereafter, will self-select to belong to one of the segments described above.

NERC general counsel will review all applications for joining the Registered Ballot Body, and make a determination of whether the self-selection satisfies at least one of the guidelines to belong to that segment. The entity will then be “credentialed” to participate as a voting member of that segment. The SAC will decide disputes, with an appeal to the Board of Trustees.

All registrations will be done electronically.

### **Segment Qualification Guidelines**

The segment qualification guidelines are inclusive; i.e., any entity with a legitimate interest in the electric industry that can meet any one of the guidelines for a segment is entitled to belong to and vote in that segment.

The general guidelines for all segments are:

- Corporations or organizations with integrated operations or with affiliates that qualify to belong to more than one segment (e.g., Transmission Owners and Load Serving Entities) may belong to each of the segments in which they qualify, provided that each segment constitutes a separate membership and is represented by a different representative.
- Corporations, organizations, and entities may participate freely in all subgroups.
- After their initial selection, registered participants may apply to change segments annually, according to a defined schedule.
- The qualification guidelines and rules for joining segments will be reviewed periodically to ensure that the process continues to be fair, open, balanced, and inclusive. Public input will be solicited in the review of these guidelines.
- Since all balloting of standards will be done electronically, any registered participant may designate an agent or proxy to vote on its behalf. There are no limits on how many proxies an agent may hold. However, NERC must have in its possession, either in writing or by

---

<sup>6</sup> This description is from the final report of the NERC Standing Committees Representation Task Force, February 7, 2002. The Board of Trustees endorsed the industry segments and weighted segment voting model described within this document on February 20, 2002 and may change this from time to time. The latest version (approved or endorsed by the NERC Board of Trustees) shall be used in the NERC Standards Development Process.

email, documentation that the voting right by proxy has been transferred from the registered participant to the agent.

## ***Initial Segments***

### ***Segment 1. Transmission Owners***

- a. Any entity that owns or controls at least 200 circuit miles of integrated transmission facilities, or has an Open Access Transmission Tariff or equivalent on file with a regulatory authority.
- b. Transmission owners that have placed their transmission under the operational control of an RTO.
- c. Independent transmission companies or organizations, merchant transmission developers, and transcos that are not RTOs.
- d. Excludes RTOs and ISOs (that are eligible to belong to Segment 2).

### ***Segment 2. Regional Transmission Organizations (RTOs), Independent System Operators (ISOs), and Regional Reliability Organizations (RROs)***

- a. Authorized by appropriate regulator to operate as RTO or ISO.
- b. Regional reliability organizations that are members of NERC.
- c. In cases where the RTO or ISO and the RRO have exactly the same geographic boundary, both may belong to this segment as long as they are separate entities.

### ***Segment 3. Load-Serving Entities (LSEs)***

- a. Entities serving end-use customers under a regulated tariff, a contract governed by a regulatory tariff, or other legal obligation to serve.
- b. A member of a generation and transmission (G&T) cooperative or a joint-action agency is permitted to designate the G&T or joint-action agency to represent it in this segment; such designation does not preclude the G&T or joint-action agency from participation and voting in another segment representing its direct interests.

### ***Segment 4. Transmission Dependent Utilities (TDUs)***

- a. Entities with a regulatory, contract, or other legal obligation to serve wholesale aggregators or end-use customers, and that depend primarily on the transmission systems of third parties to provide this service.
- b. Agents or associations can represent groups of TDUs.

### ***Segment 5. Electric Generators***

- a. Affiliated and independent generators.
- b. A corporation that sets up separate corporate entities for each one or two generating plants in which it is involved may only have one vote in this segment regardless of how many single-plant or two-plant corporations the parent corporation has established or is involved in.

**Segment 6. Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers**

- a. Entities serving end-use customers under a power marketing agreement or other authorization not classified as a regulated tariff.
- b. An entity that buys, sells, or brokers energy and related services for resale in wholesale or retail markets, whether a non-jurisdictional entity operating within its charter or an entity licensed by a jurisdictional regulator.
- c. G&T cooperatives and joint-action agencies that perform an electricity broker, aggregator, or marketer function are permitted to belong to this segment.

**Segment 7. Large Electricity End Users**

- a. At least one service delivery taken at 50 kV (radial supply or facilities dedicated to serve customers) that is not purchased for resale.
- b. A single customer with an average aggregated service load (not purchased for resale) of at least 50,000 MWh annually, excluding cogeneration or other back feed to the serving utility.
- c. Agents or associations can represent groups of large end users.

**Segment 8. Small Electricity Users**

- a. Service taken at below 50 kV.
- b. A single customer with an average aggregated service load (not purchased for resale) of less than 50,000 MWh annually, excluding cogeneration or other back feed to the serving utility.
- c. Agents, state consumer advocates, or other advocate groups can represent groups of small customers.

**Segment 9. Federal, State, and Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities**

- a. Does not include federal power management agencies or the Tennessee Valley Authority.
- b. May include public utility commissions.

## Appendix C – Examples of Weighted Segment Voting Calculation

(Assumptions on numbers of entities are purely hypothetical and used only for illustrative purposes.)

### Ballot Body and Pools

Segment	Registered Ballot Body	ballot pools	
		Standard #1	Standard #2
1. Transmission Owners	300	250	100
2. RTOs, ISOs, and RROs	20	20	20
3. LSEs	200	100	50
4. TDUs	100	75	50
5. Electric Generators	25	20	25
6. Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	10	10	10
7. Large End-Use Customers	5	1	4
8. Small End-Use Customers	25	10	5
9. Regulators or Other Government Entities	50	10	15
<b>Totals</b>	<b>735</b>	<b>496</b>	<b>279</b>

### Example 1

Segment	ballot pool	Votes				Abstain	No Ballot
		Affirmative		Negative			
		# Votes	Fraction	# Votes	Fraction		
1	250	200	0.833	40	0.167	10	0
2	20	15	0.750	5	0.250	0	0
3	100	60	0.632	35	0.368	5	0
4	75	50	0.714	20	0.286	0	5
5	20	7	0.412	10	0.588	2	1
6	10	6	0.600	4	0.400	0	0
7	1	0		0		1	0
8	10	0		0		0	10
9	10	8	0.800	2	0.200	0	0
<b>Totals</b>	<b>496</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>4.741</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>2.259</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Ballots</b>	<b>480</b>	<b>96.8%</b>					
<b>Wtd Vote</b>		<b>0.677</b>		<b>0.323</b>			

Weighted segment vote is greater than two thirds AND more than 75% of the Standard ballot pool returned a ballot. Standard is approved.

No "Affirmative" or "Negative" votes cast, so segments not counted in total weighting.

Percent ballots returned  
 =  $(480/496) \times 100$   
 = 96.6%

Weighted segment vote  
 =  $(\text{Total Fraction}) / (\text{Segments Counted})$   
 =  $4.741 / 7$

**Example 2**

Segment	ballot pool	Votes				Abstain	No Ballot
		Affirmative		Negative			
		# Votes	Fraction	# Votes	Fraction	# Votes	
1	100	25	1.000	0	0.000	0	75
2	20	15	0.750	5	0.250	0	0
3	50	30	0.600	20	0.400	0	0
4	50	25	0.833	5	0.167	0	20
5	25	18	0.783	5	0.217	2	0
6	10	6	0.600	4	0.400	0	0
7	4	4	1.000	0	0.000	0	0
8	5	5	1.000	0	0.000	0	0
9	15	7	1.000	0	0.000	5	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>7.566</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>1.434</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>Ballots</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>64.87%</b>					
<b>Wtd Vote</b>			<b>0.841</b>		<b>0.159</b>		

Weighted segment vote is greater than two thirds BUT less than 75% of the Standard ballot pool returned a ballot. Standard is NOT approved.