

## Unofficial Comment Form for SAR for Project 2010-11: TPL Table 1 Order

Please **DO NOT** use this form to submit comments. Please the [electronic form](#) located at the link below to submit comments on the SAR for Project 2010-11: TPL Table 1 Order. This comment form must be completed by **May 25, 2010**.

If you have questions please contact Ed Dobrowolski at [ed.dobrowolski@nerc.net](mailto:ed.dobrowolski@nerc.net) or by telephone at 609-947-3673.

### Background Information

The SAR is to address FERC Order RM06-16-009 which required the ERO to clarify TPL-002-0, Table 1 — footnote 'b', regarding the planned or controlled interruption of electric supply where a single contingency occurs on a transmission system by June 30, 2010.

The SAR provides a revision to TPL Table 1 footnote 'b' to provide clarity to industry with regard to the planned or controlled interruption of electric supply where a single contingency occurs on a transmission system. The referenced table appears in TPL-001, TPL-002, TPL-003, and TPL-004 so while the FERC Order was for TPL-002, the change is reflected in all 4 standards.

1. The SDT is proposing a revision to footnote 'b' in the TPL tables to comply with FERC Order RM-06-16-009 which required the ERO to clarify TPL-002-0, Table 1 — footnote 'b', regarding the planned or controlled interruption of electric supply where a single contingency occurs on a transmission system by June 30, 2010. Do you agree with the proposed changes and if not, please provide specific reasons for your disagreement.

Yes

No

Comments: For Footnote b, add a third exception to the list, "or (3) end-use load that is either accepted or volunteered by the customer". It is a widely-held understanding that the tripping of non-consequential, end-use load is also allowed, if the tripping of the load is either accepted or volunteered by the customer in lieu of significant transmission system modifications.

2. Are you aware of any conflicts caused by compliance with the proposed language in Table 1 — footnote b and any regulatory function, rule order, tariff, rate schedule, legislative requirement or agreement? If yes, please identify the conflict.

Yes

No

Comments: