

Clarification Drafting Team

Subject: MRO Regional Standards Conference call

Start: Friday 4/17/2009 1:00 PM CDT

End: Friday 4/17/2009 4:00 PM CDT

Conference Phone Number: 800.503.2899

Access Code: 8838211

You will be placed on music hold until the host starts the audio conference.

Click here to join the web meeting:

<https://cc.callinfo.com/r/q2qukjg70ibw>

Old Business:

- **Clarification of Measures: include examples?**
- **Requirements for data submittal**

If the MRO has a requirement that states the RC shall submit data that they can only get from the LSE and the LSE refuses to send the data to the RC, what will the MRO do to the RC as far as sanctions or penalties for not meeting the requirement?

The Standard should include the requirement for the LSE to provide the data if that is the obvious source of the data. Also, Standard IRO-001 requires registered entities to respond to RC directives.

- **Clarify Effective Date**

A comment was received on PRC-502 that the SDT would like us to clarify, "Please clarify the effective date language in A.5. There is uncertainty as to the effective date the way it is written." The effective date states:

"1st day of the 1st quarter following all appropriate Regulatory Authority approvals, financial sanctions will become effective."

This is standard NERC language and we are just following by their example.

We may need to provide additional implementation time for the Resource Adequacy standard.

- **Continue development of 4 Regional Standards**

Should the team shift gears on TPL-503 to produce an Organizational Standard until the new TPL's from NERC are approved?

The Standards Committee gave direction at its last meeting to continue work on the Resource Adequacy standard and to stop working on the other 3 standards for now. If additional clarification is needed, the Standards Committee Chair will provide it.

New Business:

- **Resource Adequacy (RES-501-MRO-02) - Tom Mielnik with Dave Acton**
 - Review Tom's additions of LSE applicability to the standard.
 - Complete responses to comments
 - Planning Authority vs. Planning Coordinator Response

Response: Planning Coordinator is an entity defined in the NERC Functional Model. See: <http://www.nerc.com/page.php?cid=2|247|108>.

Entities that register as Planning Coordinators are considered to be Planning Coordinators. Based on the "Comments of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation on the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for Facilities Design, Connections and Maintenance Reliability Standards", Docket No. RM07-3-000, dated September 19th, 2007, pages 15 and 16, NERC states: "While NERC recognizes there will be a need to modify the compliance registration process to include the planning coordinator, in the future, on an interim basis, any requirement assigned to the planning authority is assumed also to apply to the planning coordinator. Because no approved standards apply to the "planning coordinator?" at this time, the modification to the NERC Compliance Registry is not a current issue."

This document can be found at: <http://www.nerc.com/docs/docs/ferc/FinalFAC.pdf>.

Based on this document, the SDT feels the Planning Coordinator is the correct entity.

- **VSLs, VRFs, Measurements**

Next Meeting

- ?