

Definitions of Terms Used in Standard

This section includes all newly defined or revised terms used in the proposed standard. Terms already defined in the NERC Reliability Standards Glossary of Terms or the MRO Reliability Standards Glossary of Terms are not repeated here. New or revised definitions listed below become approved when the proposed standard is approved. When the standard becomes effective, these defined terms will be removed from the individual standard and added to the Midwest Reliability Organization (“MRO”) Glossary. NERC and MRO defined terms are capitalized in the standard.

Standard TPL-504-MRO-021 — Subsynchronous Resonance (SSR) Assessment

A. Introduction

- 1. **Title:** Subsynchronous Resonance (“SSR”) Assessment
- 2. **Number:** **TPL-504-MRO-021**
- 3. **Purpose:** To ensure subsynchronous resonance with series compensated lines, torsional interaction with power system controls and generator shaft damage or excessive torsional fatigue due to network switching does not occur in the Midwest Reliability Organization (“MRO”).

4. Applicability

- 4.1. Transmission Planner
- 4.2. Generator Owner
- 4.3. Transmission Owner
- 4.4. Generator Operator

- 5. **Effective Date:** ~~1st day of the 1st quarter following all appropriate Regulatory Authority approvals, financial sanctions will become effective~~ Upon MRO Board Approval compliance monitoring for TPL-504-MRO-01 will begin. ~~One year after Canadian provincial agency exercising regulatory authority over an MRO member and FERC approval, financial sanctions for TPL-504-MRO-01 will become effective.~~

Comment [sm1]: Normally the effective date reads: the 1st day of the 1st quarter/month after entities are expected to be compliant”. Is the justification for the one year provision for implementation reasons (i.e. to allow the MRO members to become compliant?)?

B. Requirements

- R1. ~~[Violation Risk Factor: Medium]~~. The Transmission Planner shall demonstrate through a valid subsynchronous resonance assessment that all new¹ system additions or system operating practices that are added to the its portion of the interconnected transmission system that have potential to excite sustained subsynchronous torsional oscillations are planned, designed, and constructed to avoid causing generating unit shaft damage. To be valid, the Transmission Planner subsynchronous resonance assessment shall: ~~[Violation Risk Factor: Medium]~~ [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning

Comment [sm2]: All requirements are missing time horizons

Comment [sm3]: VRF should be at the end of the requirement

Comment [sm4]: General comment about Requirements: the requirements are unclear and make many references at times to other requirements and other standards. Consider breaking out the requirements into concise, clearer requirements.

- R1.1 Be performed prior to the in-service date of the new system additions or system operating practices that are potential scenarios to excite torsional oscillations in the subsynchronous range. System additions or system operating practices include the following:
 - R1.1.1 Transmission system equipment such as series capacitors, HVdc rectifier terminals, and SVC systems that are 100 kV or higher and that are electrically close to a turbine-generator.
 - R1.1.2 Large fluctuating loads greater than 100MW such as ac electric arc furnaces, rolling mills, cyclo-converters, and other industrial drives, that are electrically close to a turbine-generator.
 - R1.1.3 System operating practices such as high-speed reclosing and single-pole switching on transmission lines 100kV or higher and that are electrically close to a turbine-generator.

¹ A new system addition is a system addition that receives Planning Coordinator agreement to interconnect to the Bulk Electric System after the effective date of this standard

Standard TPL-504-MRO-021 — Subsynchronous Resonance (SSR) Assessment

- R1.2** Consist of initial studies (such as frequency scanning) that determine whether there is evidence of a potential subsynchronous resonance (“SSR”) condition. Estimated or typical turbine-generator data may be used.
- R1.3** Consist of detailed studies (such as frequency scanning, eigenvalue analysis or electromagnetic transient simulation) if results from ~~MRO Regional Reliability Standard TPL 504 MRO 01~~R1.2 indicate evidence of the potential for a SSR condition. Data available from the generator manufacturer and/or from field tests as per ~~MRO Regional Reliability Standard TPL 504 MRO 01~~R5 shall be used to confirm the condition.
- R1.4** Consider the effect of contingency conditions defined in Categories ~~P1 through P4B, and C~~ of Table I of NERC Reliability Standards ~~TPL-001-01-002-0 and TPL-003-0~~.
- R2.** ~~[Violation Risk Factor: Medium].~~ When assessments performed as prescribed in ~~MRO Regional Reliability Standard TPL 504 MRO 01~~R1 confirms the potential for a SSR condition or the Generator Operator reports a SSR event ~~(e.g. observable subsynchronous torsional oscillations cause unit tripping)~~, the Transmission Planner shall determine a corrective plan to mitigate the SSR condition, ~~such as installing a SSR countermeasure.~~
~~[Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning]~~
- R3.** ~~[Violation Risk Factor: Lower].~~ When assessments performed as prescribed in ~~MRO Regional Reliability Standard TPL 504 MRO 01~~R1 confirm the potential for a SSR condition, the Transmission Planner shall, within thirty (30) days of completion of the assessments and corrective plans or the request, whichever is later, provide to the MRO and any impacted Transmission Owners(s) and Generator Owner(s) the results of the subsynchronous resonance assessments and corrective plans. ~~[Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning].~~
- R4.** ~~[Violation Risk Factor: Medium].~~ Each Transmission Owner, Generator Owner or Generator Operator that owns or operates a SSR countermeasure shall provide information to its Transmission Operator on a change in operational status of the SSR countermeasure within thirty (30) minutes, if the SSR countermeasure is critical to preventing subsynchronous resonance for any contingencies defined in Category ~~P2 through P4B or Category C~~ of Table I of Reliability Standard ~~TPL-001-01-002-0 and TPL-003-0~~. ~~[Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning].~~
- R5.** ~~[Violation Risk Factor: Lower].~~ The Generator Owner shall provide to the Transmission Planner, generator manufacturer data and/or results from field tests when results from ~~TPL 504 MRO 01~~R1 indicate evidence of the potential for a SSR condition. Field testings to verify the natural torsional mode frequencies shall be performed when a SSR countermeasure is determined necessary in ~~MRO Regional Reliability Standard TPL 504 MRO 01~~R2. Field testings may be used to verify natural torsional mode mechanical damping as a function of generator loading when a SSR countermeasure is determined necessary in ~~MRO Regional Reliability Standard TPL 504 MRO 01~~R2. ~~[Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning].~~

The minimum data to be provided by the Generator Owner shall include:

- R5.1** Resistance and reactance as a function of frequency for the generator as viewed from the generator terminals. Armature and rotor circuits shall be included.
- R5.2** The inertia constant for each turbine element, generator and exciter.

Standard TPL-504-MRO-021 — Subsynchronous Resonance (SSR) Assessment

- R5.3** The spring constants for each shaft connecting turbine elements, generator and exciter.
- R5.4** Estimated turbine-generator natural torsional mode mechanical damping for all subsynchronous modes as a function of generator loading, ranging from no load to full load.
- R5.5** Fatigue life expenditure data for each shaft connecting the turbine elements, generator and exciter. Assumptions made in the preparation of this data shall also be provided.

C. Measures

- ~~M1.~~ The Transmission Planner shall **provide documented evidence of** have a valid SSR assessment and corrective plan ~~that has been conducted~~ as specified in ~~MRO Regional Reliability Standard(s) TPL 504 MRO 01 R1 and TPL 504 MRO 01 R2.~~
- ~~M1-M2.~~ **The Transmission Planner shall provide documented evidence that it has determined a corrective plan to mitigate an identified potential, or observed, SSR condition.**
- ~~M2-M3.~~ The Transmission Planner shall **provide** have evidence it reported documentation of results of its SSR assessments and corrective plans to the MRO and any impacted Transmission Owners(s) and Generator Owner(s) as specified in ~~MRO Regional Reliability Standard Reliability Standard TPL 504 MRO 01 R3.~~
- ~~M3-M4.~~ The Transmission Owner, Generator Owner and Generator Operator, as applicable shall **provide** have evidence it reported changes in operational status of SSR countermeasures to its Transmission Operator as specified in ~~MRO Regional Reliability Standard TPL 504 MRO 01 R4.~~
- ~~M4-M5.~~ The Generator Owner shall **provide** have evidence it **reported to the Transmission Planner** ~~the provided~~ generator manufacturer data, results from field tests or typical data ~~to the Transmission Planner~~ as specified in ~~MRO Regional Reliability Standard TPL 504 MRO 01 R5.~~

Comment [sm5]: In general, these Measures do not clarify what is to be provided as evidence that the Requirement was met but rather only refers back to the Requirement. These Measures should be clearer.

D. Compliance

1. Compliance Monitoring Process

1.1. Compliance Monitoring Responsibility.

~~ERO delegated to~~ Midwest Reliability Organization.

1.2. Compliance Monitoring Period and Reset Timeframe.

The Performance-Reset period shall be one calendar year from the last finding of non-compliance.

1.3. Data Retention.

The Transmission Planner shall retain assessments **from last finding of full compliance** ~~for ten (10) years or~~ until the next assessment is completed.

The Generator Owner shall retain detailed manufacturer turbine-generator data for the life of the plant.

In addition, entities found non-compliant shall keep information related to the non-compliance until found compliant.

The Compliance Monitor shall retain any audit data for three years.

1.4. Additional Compliance Information.

The Transmission Planner, Transmission Owner, Generator Owner and Generator Operator shall demonstrate compliance through the following methods, as determined by the compliance monitor: (1) Self certification or (2) Audits (periodic, as part of targeted monitoring or initiated by complaint or event).

Violation Severity Levels

Comment [sm6]: Not in the table format used in the cws – not consistent with how standards are being written
http://www.nerc.com/pub/sys/all_updl/standards/dt/Reliability_Standard_Template_Guide.dot

<u>R #</u>	<u>Lower VSL</u>	<u>Moderate VSL</u>	<u>High VSL</u>	<u>Severe VSL</u>
<u>1</u>	<u>the assessment and/or corrective plan was incomplete</u>	<u>Not applicable</u>	<u>Transmission Planner did not perform a SSR assessment and/or corrective plan</u>	<u>Not applicable</u>
<u>2</u>	<u>the assessment and/or corrective plan was incomplete</u>	<u>Not applicable</u>	<u>Transmission Planner did not perform a SSR assessment and/or corrective plan</u>	<u>Transmission Planner did not determine and implement a corrective plan where a SSR assessment indicated a need for a corrective plan or a SSR event was reported.</u>
<u>3</u>	<u>Not applicable</u>	<u>documentation was not provided to the MRO or any impacted Transmission Owner(s) or Generator Owner(s)</u>	<u>Not applicable</u>	<u>Not applicable</u>
<u>4</u>	<u>Not applicable</u>	<u>Not applicable</u>	<u>Transmission Owner, Generator Owner or Generator Operator, did not report operational status changes of SSR countermeasures within thirty (30) minutes to the Transmission Operator</u>	<u>Not applicable</u>

5	<u>Not applicable</u>	<u>Generator Owner did not provide to the Transmission Planner the gathered and/or developed generator manufacturer data and/or results from field tests</u>	<u>Generator Owner did not gather and/or develop generator manufacturer data and/or results from field tests</u>	<u>Not applicable</u>
----------	-----------------------	--	--	-----------------------

Lower: ~~Transmission Planner provided an SSR assessment and corrective plan to the MRO per R3, but the assessment and/or corrective plan was incomplete in one or more areas of R1 and R2.~~

Moderate: ~~Transmission Planner performed a SSR assessment and corrective plan per R1 and R2 but documentation was not provided to the MRO or any impacted Transmission Owner(s) or Generator Owner(s) per R3.~~

~~Generator Owner gathered and/or developed generator manufacturer data and/or results from field tests but did not provide to the Transmission Planner per R5.~~

High: ~~Transmission Planner did not perform a SSR assessment and/or corrective plan per R1 and R2.~~

~~Generator Owner did not gather and/or develop generator manufacturer data and/or results from field tests per R5.~~

~~Transmission Owner, Generator Owner or Generator Operator, as applicable, did not report operational status changes of SSR countermeasures within thirty (30) minutes to the Transmission Operator per R4.~~

Severe: ~~Transmission Planner did not determine and implement a corrective plan per R2 where a SSR assessment indicated a need for a corrective plan or a SSR event was reported.~~

Version History

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking